1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
8			
9			
10	FAT CAT MUSTARD LLC, a Washington limited liability company,	CASE NO.	
11	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY	
12	V.	JUDGMENT OF TRADEMARK	
13	FAT CAT GOURMET, a Florida limited	NONINFRINGEMENT, CANCELLATION OF FEDERAL	
14	liability company,	TRADEMARK REGISTRATION, TRADEMARK INFRINGMENT AND	
15	Defendant.	UNFAIR COMPETITION	
16			
17	Plaintiff, Fat Cat Mustard LLC ("Fat Cat Mustard"), for its complaint against		
18	Defendant, Fat Cat Gourmet Foods, LLC ("FCGF"), alleges:		
19	NATURE OF ACTION		
20	1. This is an action for declaratory judgment arising under the Federal		
21	Declaratory Judgments Act (28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202), cancellation of a federal		
22			
23	trademark registration under the federal Trademark Act (the "Lanham Act") (15 U.S.C. §§		
24	1064, 1118, 1125(a) et seq.), trademark infringement and unfair competition under federal		
25	and Washington State common law.		
26			

1 2 THE PARTIES 3 2. Plaintiff Fat Cat Mustard LLC is a Washington limited liability company with 4 its principle address at 22273 NE Stottlemeyer Road, #B, Poulsbo, Kitsap County, 5 Washington 98370. 6 3. On information and belief, Defendant Fat Cat Gourmet Foods, LLC is a 7 Florida limited liability company with its principle address at 8849 Silk Bay Place, Orlando, 8 9 Florida 32827. 10 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 11 4. This action arises under the Federal Declaratory Judgments Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 12 2201 and 2202 et seq and the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1064, 1118, 1125(a) et seq. The 13 Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1521, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 14 1338. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c) or 1400(b) in 15 that, on information and belief, FCGF has done business in this district or a substantial part 16 17 of the events giving rise to Fat Cat Mustard's claims occurred in or were aimed at this 18 judicial district. On information and belief, FCGF is subject to the personal jurisdiction of 19 this Court as, on information and belief, FCGF has done business in this district. In addition, 20 FCGF sent multiple letters into this judicial district to Fat Cat Mustard alleging trademark 21 infringement. 22 **FACTS** 23 5. 24 Fat Cat Mustard hand-makes and sells gourmet, natural mustards based on a 25 family recipe.

- 13. On June 25, 2012, FCGF's counsel emailed a cease and desist letter dated June 14, 2012 to Fat Cat Mustard alleging a likelihood of confusion and demanding that Fat Cat Mustard cease use of the Fat Cat Mustard trademark and shut down its website. If Fat Cat Mustard did not comply, FCGF threatened "legal action to enforce its intellectual property rights, including seeking all remedies which are available to it under the Federal Lanham Act, including but not limited to treble damages and attorney's fees for any willful, continued infringement by your company."
- 14. On July 6, 2012, FCGF's counsel sent a second cease and desist letter accusing Fat Cat Mustard of trademark infringement and enclosing a draft complaint for trademark infringement, unfair competition and deceptive trade practices. The letter, labeled THIRD AND FINAL NOTICE CEASE AND DESIST NOTICE, threatened "Your continued intentional infringement of my client's trademark or your failure to contact us so as to discuss terms for resolving your ongoing, intentional infringement <u>will</u> result in the Complaint being filed on <u>Friday July 13, 2012</u>."
- 15. In its draft complaint and correspondence, FCGF has alleged that it is experience confusion, namely that FCGF's representatives have been contacted by vendors who have confused it for Fat Cat Mustard.
- 16. On July 13, 2012, Fat Cat Mustard's counsel responded to FCGF's cease and desist letter and subsequently discussed the matter with FCGF's counsel on July 16, 2012.
- 17. On July 23 and 25, 2012, FCGF's counsel sent additional emails demanding Fat Cat Mustard change its name and threatening to file an infringement lawsuit.

18. The correspondence from FCGF, all sent to Fat Cat Mustard in the state of 1 2 Washington, has created for Fat Cat Mustard a reasonable apprehension that FCGF will file a 3 lawsuit against it. 4 DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF TRADEMARK NONINFRINGEMENT UNDER 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 AND 2202 et seq. 5 6 19. Fat Cat Mustard repeats and realleges each of the allegations contained in 7 paragraphs 1 through 18 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein. 8 20. A dispute has now arisen between the parties, and an actual and justiciable 9 controversy exists, in that FCGF asserts, and Fat Cat Mustard denies, that use of 10 FAT CAT MUSTARD mark infringes FCGF's rights. 11 21. FCGF began use of its mark and filed its U.S. trademark application on June 12 13 3, 2011, well after Fat Cat Mustard commenced use of its FAT CAT MUSTARD mark in the 14 state of Washington and elsewhere. 15 22. Fat Cat Mustard has acquired senior rights in the FAT CAT MUSTARD 16 mark. 17 23. Fat Cat Mustard requests a declaration and judicial determination by this 18 Court that its use of the FAT CAT MUSTARD mark does not infringe any trademark right of 19 FCGF. 20 21 24. Plaintiff has no other existing, speedy, adequate or proper remedy other than a 22 declaration and determination of the parties' rights as prayed for herein. 23 24 25 26

FEDERAL UNFAIR COMPETITION 1 UNDER 15 U.S.C. §1125(a) 2 Fat Cat Mustard realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 33. 3 32 above. 4 5 34. FCGF's conduct described above constitutes unfair competition, false 6 designation of origin, false or misleading descriptions or representations of fact, false 7 advertising and/or unfair or deceptive trade practices, in that they are likely to cause 8 confusion or cause mistake, or to deceive others as to the affiliation, connection or 9 association of FCGF with Fat Cat Mustard, or as to the origin, sponsorship or approval of 10 FCGF's goods, all in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1125(a). 11 35. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing actions of FCGF, Fat Cat 12 13 Mustard has been and is likely to continue to be substantially injured in its business, 14 including its reputation, resulting in lost revenues and profits, and diminished goodwill and 15 reputation. 16 36. The foregoing actions will cause irreparable harm to Fat Cat Mustard for 17 which there is no adequate remedy at law, such that damages alone cannot fully compensate 18 Fat Cat Mustard for FCGF's misconduct. 19 37. 20 Unless enjoined by this Court, FCGF will continue to compete unfairly, 21 falsely designate the origin of its goods, make false descriptions or representations, and cause 22 confusion to the irreparable injury of Fat Cat Mustard. The threat of future injury to the 23 business, identity, goodwill and reputation of Fat Cat Mustard requires preliminary and 24 permanent injunctive relief. 25

FEDERAL AND WASHINGTON STATE 1 COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT 2 AND UNFAIR COMPETITION 3 38. Fat Cat Mustard realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 4 37 above. 5 39. FCGF's actions described above pass off FCGF's goods as those of Fat Cat 6 Mustard, are calculated to and/or likely to confuse and mislead the public into believing that 7 FCGF's goods are associated with or related to Fat Cat Mustard, and to cause the public to 8 9 trade with FCGF when they intended to and would otherwise have traded with Fat Cat 10 Mustard, and constitute trademark infringement and unfair competition in violation of the 11 federal and Washington state common law. 12 40. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing act, practices and conduct of 13 FCGF, Fat Cat Mustard has been and is likely be substantially injured in its business, 14 including its goodwill and reputation, resulting in lost revenues and profits, and diminished 15 goodwill and reputation. 16 17 41. The foregoing actions will cause irreparable harm to Fat Cat Mustard for 18 which there is no adequate remedy at law, such that damages alone cannot fully compensate 19 Fat Cat Mustard for FCGF's misconduct. 20 42. Unless enjoined by this Court, FCGF will continue to engage in unfair 21 competition and cause confusion and mistake by its unauthorized use and infringement of a 22 mark confusingly similar to Fat Cat Mustard's FAT CAT MUSTARD mark, all to the 23 24 irreparable injury of Fat Cat Mustard. The threat of future injury to the business, identity, 25 26

goodwill and reputation of Fat Cat Mustard requires preliminary and permanent injunctive 1 2 relief. 3 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 4 WHEREFORE, Fat Cat Mustard prays for entry of a judgment by this Court 5 against FCGF providing that: 6 1. A declaratory judgment that Fat Cat Mustard's use of its FAT CAT 7 MUSTARD mark does not infringe any trademark right of FCGF; 8 9 2. An order to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office cancelling U.S. Trademark 10 Registration No. 4,130,897 for the mark FAT CAT GOURMET FOODS ITS PURR-11 FECTLY GOOD + DESIGN; 12 3. FCGF has violated the laws of the United States and the State of Washington, 13 as set forth herein; 14 4. The FCGF and its affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, 15 and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, be preliminarily and 16 17 permanently enjoined and restrained from using the mark FAT CAT GOURMET FOODS 18 ITS PURR-FECTLY GOOD + DESIGN or any other mark confusingly similar to Plaintiff's 19 FAT CAT MUSTARD mark; 20 5. FCGF be required to pay Fat Cat Mustard for all damages Fat Cat Mustard 21 has suffered by reason of FCGF conduct; 22 6. That FCGF's infringement and unfair competition be found willful and that 23 24 increased damages, together with interest and costs, be awarded under the Lanham Act or as 25 otherwise provided by law; 26

1	7. That the present case be found exceptional and that attorneys' fees be awarded		
2	to Fat Cat Mustard under the Lanham Act or as otherwise provided by law.		
3	Dated: Ju	uly 25, 2012	Respectfully submitted,
4			
5			By: s/Jennifer L. Jolley
6			Jennifer L. Jolley, WSBA No. 27686 Attorney for Plaintiff Fat Cat Mustard LLC
7			
8			Jolley IP Law 1001 Fourth Ave, Ste 4400
9			Seattle, Washington 98154 Tel: (206) 389-1750
10			Fax: (206) 905-0915 Jennifer@jolleyip.com
11			
12			
13			
1415			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
ļ	COMPLAINT - 10		JOLLEY IP LAW